

# Developing evidence-based recommendations on dietary and lifestyle patterns for cancer prevention

- S. Kefyalew (1); EL. Giovannucci (2); D. Romaguera (3); J. Krebs (4); M. Weijenberg (5); M. Baskin (6); G. Mitrou (1); H. Croker (1)
- (1) World Cancer Research Fund International, London, United Kingdom; (2) Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, United States of America; (3) Carlos III Health Institute, Madrid, Spain; (4) University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; (5) Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands; (6) University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, United States

## Background



• Our widely used 2018 recommendations are for cancer overall, and we aimed to develop cancer –specific recommendations.

For the first time, WCRF have produced evidence-based recommendations on dietary and lifestyle patterns (DLPs) and cancer prevention.

<u>How have they done this?</u>



#### Evidence reviewed

- Epidemiological evidence for breast and colorectal (CRC) cancers reviewed.
- Biological mechanisms evidence examined using a pre-specified framework.

### Evidence graded

- Expert Panel uses pre-defined criteria.
- Mechanistic evidence an 'upgrading factor'.

### Recommendations developed

 Globally applicable recommendations developed for a 'healthy DLP' for breast, CRC and for cancer overall developed.

- WCRF/AICR score graded 'strong probable' (indicating probable causality).
   Mechanistically-derived natterns measuring insulin and inflammation gradeness.
- Mechanistically-derived patterns measuring insulin and inflammation graded 'strong probable' for CRC.
- · Other patterns graded 'limited'.

#### **Conclusions & Future outputs**

- Our work supports and builds on the 2018 WCRF/AICR Cancer prevention recommendations and emphasises importance of considering whole diet/lifestyle rather than focusing on individual foods/ behaviours.
- Effective communication is paramount for translating findings for public benefit. WCRF are developing a report outlining our work on DLPs and our recommendations for scientific, policy, civil society, and public audiences.
- Our report considers wider contextual factors including policy implications, global health inequalities and sustainability.



